9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2013-0670]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; James River; Newport News, VA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone around the USNS Del Monte. This safety zone will restrict vessel traffic on a portion of the James River within a 1500 foot radius of the USNS Del Monte. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic movement in the vicinity of the James River to protect mariners from the hazards associated with live fire and explosive training events.

DATES: This rule will be effective from 8 a.m. on August 19, 2013, until 4 p.m. on August 22, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket [USCG-2013-0670]. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number in the

"SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket

Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You

may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12
140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation

West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC

20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday,

except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or e-mail LCDR Hector Cintron, Waterways Management Division Chief, Sector Hampton Roads, Coast Guard; telephone (757) 668-5581, e-mail Hector.L.Cintron@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Barbara Hairston, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Acronyms

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR <u>Federal Register</u>

NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

A. Regulatory History and Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative

Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because the event plan was received on July 16, 2013, which is only 34 days prior to the event date. As such, it is impracticable to provide a full comment period due to lack of time. Delaying this regulation's effective date for comment would is impracticable as immediate action is needed to ensure the safety of the other vessels transiting the event area. The Coast Guard will provide advance notifications to users of the effected waterways of the safety zone via marine information broadcasts and local notice to mariners.

B. Basis and Purpose

From August 19 through August 22, 2013, the Naval Special Warfare Development Group will conduct a training exercise on the USNS Del Monte in the James River in the vicinity of the James River Reserve Fleet. Due to the need to protect mariners from the hazards associated with a live fire and explosives training, such as the accidental

discharge of ammunition and, dangerous projectiles, vessel traffic will be temporarily restricted within 1500 feet of the USNS Del Monte.

C. Discussion of the Rule

The Coast Guard is establishing a 1500 foot radius safety zone on specified waters of James River around approximate position 37°06′11″N / 076°38′40″W in the vicinity of the James River Reserve Fleet. This safety zone is being established in the interest of public safety during the live fire and explosive training exercise and will be enforced from 8 a.m. on August 19, 2013, to 4 p.m. on August 22, 2013. Access to the safety zone will be restricted during the specified dates and times. Except for vessels authorized by the Captain of the Port or his Representative, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the safety zone.

D. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes or executive orders.

1. Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning

and Review, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563,

Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of Executive Order 12866 or under section 1 of Executive Order 13563. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under those orders. Although this regulation restricts access to the navigable waters of the James River, the effect of this rule will not be significant because: (i) the safety zone will be in effect for a limited duration; (ii) the zone is of limited size; (iii) mariners may transit the waters in and around this safety zone at the discretion of the Captain of the Port or designated representative; and (iv) the Coast Guard will make notifications via maritime advisories so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

2. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601-612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies

under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The rule would affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in that portion of the James River from 8 a.m. on August 19, 2013, through 4 p.m. on August 22, 2013.

This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: (i) The safety zone will only be in place for a limited duration. (ii) Before the enforcement period of August 19-22, 2013 maritime advisories will be issued allowing mariners to adjust their plans accordingly.

3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory
Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-121), we
want to assist small entities in understanding this rule.

If the rule would affect your small business, organization,
or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions
concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please
contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION
CONTACT, above.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

4. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

5. Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism.

6. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the "FOR FURTHER INTFORMATION CONTACT" section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

8. Taking of Private Property

This rule will not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

9. Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects

This action is not a "significant energy action" under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards.

Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of
Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and
Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guide the Coast
Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy
Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have
determined this action is one of a category of actions
which do not individually or cumulatively have a
significant effect on the human environment. This rule
involves establishing a safety zone for a live fire and
explosives training exercise and is expected to have no
impact on the water or environment. This zone is designed
to protect mariners from the hazards associated with live
fire and explosive exercises. This rule is categorically
from further review under paragraph (34)(g) of Figure 2-1
of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis

checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165--REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS
AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6 and 160.5; Pub. L. 107-295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Add § 165.T05-0670 to read as follows:

§ 165.T05-0670 Safety Zone, James River, Newport News, VA

(a) <u>Definitions</u>. For the purposes of this section,

Captain of the Port means the Commander, Sector Hampton

Roads. <u>Representative</u> means any Coast Guard commissioned,

warrant or petty officer who has been authorized to act on

the behalf of the Captain of the Port.

- (b) <u>Location</u>. The following area is a safety zone: specified waters of the Captain of the Port Sector Hampton Roads zone, as defined in 33 CFR 3.25-10, all waters of the James River within a 1500 foot radius of the USNS Del Monte in approximate position latitude 37°06'11"N longitude 076°38'40"W, located near Fort Eustis in Newport News, VA
- (c) <u>Regulations</u>. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Hampton Roads or his designated representatives.
 - (2) The operator of any vessel in the immediate vicinity of this safety zone shall:
 - (i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on shore or on board a vessel that is displaying a U.S. Coast Guard Ensign.
 - (ii) Proceed as directed by any
 commissioned, warrant or petty officer on shore
 or on board a vessel that is displaying a U.S.
 Coast Guard Ensign.
 - (3) The Captain of the Port, Hampton Roads can be reached through the Sector Duty Officer at Sector Hampton Roads in Portsmouth, Virginia at telephone Number (757) 668-5555.

(4) The Navy Representatives enforcing the safety zone can be contacted on VHF-FM marine band radio channel 13 (165.65Mhz) and channel 16 (156.8 Mhz).

(d) Enforcement Period. This section will be enforced from Monday August 19, 2013, at 8 a.m. until Thursday

August 22, 2013, at 4 p.m. unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port.

Dated: July 26, 2013

John K. Little
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard
Captain of the Port Hampton Roads

[FR Doc. 2013-19362 Filed 08/08/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 08/09/2013]